US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# EEE BRANCH REVIEW

ORIGINAL: AMENDED: 9/27/78
DATE:IN 8/30/77 OUT 11/29/77 IN OUT IN OUT _ FISH & WILDLIFE ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTRY EFFICACY
FISH & WILDLIFE ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTRY EFFICACY
FILE OR REG. NO. 3125-237
PETITION OR EXP. PERMIT NO.
DATE DIV. RECEIVED
DATE OF SUBMISSION
DATE SUBMISSION ACCEPTED
TYPE PRODUCT(S): I, D, H, F,(N,)R, S Nematicide
DATA ACCESSION NO(S).
PRODUCT MGR. NO. (21) Wilson
PRODUCT NAME(S) Nemacur 10 G 10 % A.I.
COMPANY NAME Chemagro
SUBMISSION PURPOSE Registration non-bearing fruit trees
CHEMICAL & FORMULATION Ethyl 3- Methyl -4- (Methylthio)
phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate

Nemacer 10 G 15 a organophosphote compound used to control nematodes in a wide variety of agricultural crops. This proposed registration is to add the use of Memacur for nematode control on non-bearing fruit trees, particular limited to applies, peaches and cherries.

100.1 Application Methods: Rates

AMENDMENT To previously Registered Labeling

# ADD The Following

#### RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

	/		POUNDS	* *
:	CROP	PEST	NEMACUR 10% G	REMARKS
<del></del>	ON-BEARING FRUIT*	[		BROADCAST APPLICATION: Apply specified
				dosage per acre with equipment that will
	Deciduous Fruit		100 to 200	insure uniform distribution. Thoroughly
	Trees		10 \$20 LBS A.I	incorporate granules into the soil by
	(apple, peach	,	10 March 11	cross-disking.
	and cherry	٠		BAND APPLICATION: Apply specified dosage
	trees)	Lesion	100 to 200	per treated acre in a 4 to 6 foot band in
	(Connecticut,	nema-	10 to 20 LBS A.I.	the orchard row. Thoroughly incorporate
	Detawarė,	tod <b>e</b> s	10 16 YO FB3 M'1'	grandles into the Soil.
	Maine, Vermont,			SINGLE TREE APPLICATION: Apply proper
	Maryland,			dosage specified below in a band 2-1/2
	Massachusetts,			to 5 feet wide to soil around base of
	Michigan,			the tree. Band width depends on size of
	New Hampshire,		SEE REMARKS	tree and treated area should extend out
	New Jersey,			to dripline of tree. Thoroughly incor-
	New York,			porate granules into the soil.
<del></del>	Pennsylvania,		,	
	Rhode Island, and	-;		Band Width Amount (10% G) Per Tree
	Wisconsin)			2-1/2 feet 3/4 to 1-1/2 ounces
				5 feet 3 to 6 ounces

Mon-bearing fruit trees are those that will not bear fruit for one year after appliation, including newly planted and established trees. Any fruit that may form on treated trees during this one year period must be destroyed and not used for human or animal consumption.

not apply more than once per planting site. The recommended time of application is tween April 1 and June 30.

Page 1 of I

Single tree application -476 met soil incorporation BAND WINT Amount Residue

2 1/2 FEET 3/4 to 1/2 02 formulation \$5.42-10.6 mg/ft 2 1/2 FEET 3 to 6 02 formulation \$10 - 20 mg/ft2 5 feet \* Based upon area around tree = to 7.85 sq ft therefore application rate = to 26-52 LBS A.L. faci \* Based upon area ground tree = to 15.7 sq ft + herefore application rate = 50 - 100 LRS A.1. acre 104.1.1. Likelihood of Epposure to Mon-Target Organism The addition of non-bearing fruit trees trees to the Memacur 10 & label is considered as a major crop addition. Nemicur is a highly toxic compound that is non-specific in its topicit The application rates called for on the label and the resultant expected residues indicate that a serious hagard is possible even with majornum soil incorporation Orchards have heavy weldlife utilization

and therefore the likelihood of exposure to non target species is anticipated to be high. The residues that species of birds and mammals will be exposed to are greater than the mg/animal on a sq ft basis that would cause be suficient to cause mortality.

For additional comments see Nemacus Citus (3125-283-236-287) NOV 25, 1977 O'BRIEN

Based upon the highly topic nature of nemacus and its back of species specification the registration of Memacus for use on non-learning fruits on a nation-wide scale could present a high likelihood of eviposure to endangered species. The possibility of exposure and the possibility of adverse ecological effects to endangered species should prempt a label restriction against any use of nemocus in areas and of times when endangered species are likely to be present in the areas to be treated.

107.3. Jakeling The remacus DG label needs the addition of the following statements to the enveroremental sofety portion Do not contaminate water by cleaning of equipment or disposal of worte" This perticule is topic to been exposed to direct application on the residues remaining on the treated areas! This pertified should prothe profeed The following data have been found acceptable to support the registration of this product

A. The fish acute 96 hr LC50 cold water fish species. Technical Nemacur B. The fish acute 96 hr LC50 warm water 107.5 Data Requesto The following data are required by the enveronmental Safety Section before an environmental Hazard assessment can be made. These data requests are to fill data gaps where previously studies have been submitted and found unacceptable or data has not been referenced or submitted and a need is felt to epist for the studies.

3.

5.

7.

۶

A. The storan lecute oral LDgo for one species of what facility which preferably!

The storage pecies of upland garries hard

(hing he bet pheasant as bolicahete Quid).

The effects submitted are not acceptable become they were not conducted weing the technical grade. Protesial as is required.

B. The Dietary LC50 for one species of

B. The Dietary LC50 for one species of weeter facel (Mallard Duck) and one species of up I'm game but (Bob-winto Qual on rung-nocked pheasant). This study must be conducted to on the technical grade material

c. The # acute 48 hour LC50 for an age. invertebrate (Daphnia sp., preferally) study must be conducted on the technical grade material

D. An Avian Reproduction Study is required on Bob white Quart and Mallard Duck This study is required for the technical grade material. I dende that should be tested will depend upon dietary LC 50 values for these species and reading LC 50 values lived be expected under field conditions. The registrant should contact the environmental sality lection for guidance.

E. AN MAMMAL Acute LOSO ON a Representative species of wild mammal will be required due to the toxic Nature of the ehemical, the Likelihood of exposure and the possibility of exposure to endangered species.

کو ہے

At Anuly sen simulated Right Studies utilizing but and manipula well be required shere Martie hauld be conducted wholes freid Portitions that most clarely represent the use pattern and rates of application and label directions. The regulation of charled contact the Environmental Safety Section for andance guidance 18 6 The regesternt should also by informed that the operation montal safety dectar 10 concerned about the Impurities In Technical Nempcus, Pending amput of information prom Environmenta Chemistry, aflictional therecity flate may be required for the impurities find the degradates. The registrant should address this problem and the relative persistance of these imperation and their degradates H. The respections should relie to the former that the Environmental Safety Section is Concerned whomat the tope - mitaly of this rehomeral as let is to be to beneficial inviction. The is potiant chand address respectivements that they come into existance in the fecture for beneficial insects.

:0

10

167. Recommendations The Engeronmental Sofety Section can not concur with the registration of Nemacurk for use on non bearing fruit. The reasons for this decision are as follows. 1. The use pattern is considered as a major crop addition 2. Enveronmental Chemistry and Topicology Reviews are not available for use in a hazard assessment 3. Basic deta required for registration is not available 4. application rates of this product are high and without all basic data it is not possible to predict the severity of adverse ecological effects

THOMAS F. O'BRIEN NOV 29, 1977 ENVIRONMENTAL Safety Section EEEB - RD WH 567 770

# AMENDED 9/27/78

# 100.0 Pesticidal Use

Nemacur 10 G is a organophosphate compound used to control nematodes in a wide variety of agricultural crops. This proposed registration is to add the use of Nemacur for nematode control on non-bearing fruit trees, particularly limited to apples, peaches and cherries.

# 100.1 Application Methods: Rates

Amendment to previously registered labeling Add the following:

#### RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS

	]	POUNDS	1
CROP	PEST	NEMACUR 10% G	REMARKS
NON-BEARING FRUIT*		]	BROADCAST APPLICATION:
Deciduous Fruit Prees Apple, peach And cherry trees) Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Vermont, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan,	   Lesion   nema-   todes 	100 to 200   10 to 20 LBS A.I.	Apply specified dos age per acre with equipment that will insure uniform distribution. Thor- oughly incorporate granules into the soil by cross- disking.
New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Wisconsin)		100 to 200   10 to 20 LBS A.I.	BAND APPLICATION:  Apply specified  dosage per treated  acre in a 4 to 6  foot band in the  orchard row. Thor- oughly incorporate  granules into the  soil.
			SINGLE TREE APPLICATION: Apply proper dosage specified below in a band 2-1/2 to 5 feet wide to soil around base of the tree. Band width depends on size of tree and treated area should extend out to dripline of tree. Thoroughly incorporate granule into the soil.

Band Width	Amount (10% G) Per Tree
2-1/2 feet	3/4 to 1-1/2 ounces
5 feet	3 to 6 ounces

<sup>\*</sup>Non-bearing fruit trees are those that will not bear fruit for one year after application, including newly planted and established trees. Any fruit that may form on treated trees during this year period must be destroyed and not used for human or animal consumption.

Do not apply more than once per planting site. The recommended time of application is between April 1 and June 30.

#### 101.0 Chemical and Physical Properties

#### 101.1 Chemical Name

Ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio) phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate

#### 101.2 Common Name

Nemacur

#### 102.0 Behavior in the environment

Nemacur review for citrus by T. F. O'Brien amended by L. Turner, 11/25/77, extracted environmental fate data from EFB review by R.W. Cook, 10/3/73. Pertinent information from that review is included below, along with data from EFB review by N. Dodd/R.E. Ney, 12/16/76.

#### 102.1 Soil

The half-life in soil has not been well defined. The EFB review by N. Dodd did not specify what was being investigated. It may have been Nemacur (parent) or the two equally toxic degradates (sulfone and sulfoxide). The field half-life, based on 19 unacceptable studies in various soils, was nearly always less than 6 months and mostly less than 3 months.

In another study (formerly acceptable, but later determined to be unacceptable) metabolism of Nemacur and its degradates was examined in aerobic vs. anaerobic soil. Analyses were made 30 and 61 days after application. The following data were reported:

<pre>.days after application</pre>	Percent of	applied	remaining
Aerobic soil	Nemacur S	Sulfone	Sulfoxide
30 days	5.2	66.3	14
61 days	none detectable	58.7	16.2
Anaerobic			
30 days	22.2	56.2	9.5
61 days	27.6	48.4	9.8

The above data suggest a half-life for parent and degradates decidedly in excess of 60 days. This and other data indicate that the parent half-life is less than 30 days.

سَيُّهُ إ

Nemacur is a slight leacher in some soils, especially lighter soils. Some residues were found tightly adsorbed to particles in heavier soils. Some runoff may occur. The parent Nemacur photodegrades rapidly into the sulfone and sulfoxide; there is no data on photodegradation of these products.

#### 102.2 Water

Available data apparently apply only to the parent Nemacur. In one study, the half-life at pH 7 was noted to be about 5 days (R.W. Cook review). In another (N. Dodd review), Nemacur was found to be stable at  $30^{\circ}$ C and pH 5-8, with a half-life of 14 days at pH 9. At  $50^{\circ}$ C, the half-life was 19 days at pH 8 and 4 days at pH 9.

#### 102.3 Plant

Nemacur and the sulfoxide and sulfone metabolites are taken up by plants. The sulfone and sulfoxide may be plant metabolites and occur at perhaps as much as 10x the original parent level.

#### 102.4 Animal

No significant effects of Nemacur on microbes were noted in one study. The aerobic/anaerobic soil study mentioned above suggests that aerobic microorganisms may contribute to breakdown of the parent. In a fish accumulation study on the sulfoxide, whole body bioaccumulation was no greater than 2x.

#### 103.0 Toxicological Properties

For fish and wildlife data, refer to Nemacur on citrus review by T. F. O'Brien amended by L. Turner, 11/25/77. The following data were taken from various specified Toxicology Branch reviews.

#### 103.1 Acute Toxicity

#### 103.1.1 Mammal

1. (Reference: R. D. Coberly review, 9/15/69)
rat acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> (tech)=8.1 mg/kg (males)
rat acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> (tech)=4.75 mg/kg (females)
mouse acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> (tech)=8.3 mg/kg (females)
guinea pig acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> (tech)=75-100 mg/kg
rabbit acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> (tech)=5 mg/kg
cat acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> (tech)=2.5-10 mg/kg
dog acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> (tech)> 2.5 mg/kg

Note: The toxicology reviewer made the following comments: "...very little is accumulated in the body; with degradation occurring in approximately 72 hrs." "...the dangers appear to be limited mainly to acute exposure."

- 2. (Reference: R. P. Schmidt review, 6/19/72 rat acute oral LD (%?)=15.3 mg/kg6,19.4 mg/kg4
- 3. (Reference: R. P. Schmidt review, 7/27/73 female rat acute oral (5%)=134.5 mg/kg female rat acute oral (10%)=56.5 mg/kg female rat acute oral (35%)=10.6 mg/kg
- 4. (Reference: W. E. Parkin review, 2/26/73)
   rat acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> (tech)=4.75-9.6 mg/kg
   rat acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> (spray concentate, %?)=25.0
   mg/kg
   rat acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> (10G)=100 mg/kg
- 5. (Reference: R. A. Gessert review, 11/14/77 rat acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> (?)=3.2 mg/kg (male) rat acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> (?)=2.4 mg/kg (female)

### 103.2 Dermal Toxicity

(Reference: R. P. Schmidt review, 1/2/74) male rabbit acute dermal LD<sub>50</sub> (tech)=225 mg/kg female rabbit acute dermal LD<sub>50</sub> (tech)=178.8 mg/kg

Male rats tested with 15G in water on a clipped area had 14.5% mortality in 24 hours. Under the same conditions, female rat mortality was 25%.

#### 103.4 Chronic Toxicity

(Reference: R. A. Gesser review, 11/14/77
90 day dog feeding study CHE NEL=1ppm
2 year dog feeding study CHE NEL=1ppm
90 day rat feeding study CHE NEL=4ppm
3 generation rat no impairment at levels reproduction up to 30ppm

#### 104.0 Hazard Assessment

#### 104.1 Discussion

For additional information see Nemacur on citrus review by T.F. O'Brien, amended by L. Turner, 11/25/77. The addition of non-bearing fruit to the Nemacur label should result in the following residues after soil incorporation based upon 4-6 inches of incorporation.

#### Broadcast Application:

10-20 pounds a.i./acre results in 104-208 mg/ft<sup>2</sup>. With thorough incorporation by cross-disking (assume the equivalent of 4-6 inches), the SDF (R. Felthousen memo on granulated formulation) is 50. This would yield surface residues of 2.08-4.16 mg/ft<sup>2</sup>.

#### Band Application:

The directions call for "specified dosage per treated acre in a 4 to 6 foot band...". This would result in the same residues as for broadcast application. However, the directions are unclear enough that some applicators might apply the broadcast dosage concentrated into a narrow band.

#### Single Tree Application:

Apply in a band 2 1/2 or 5 foot wide to soil around the base of the tree, out to dripline. Since these are young (non-bearing) trees, it is expected that the band will extend from the dripline to the trunk; and since the band goes all the way around the tree, the band width will be the radius of the circle.

- 1. For 2 1/2 foot band,  $A= \gamma r^2 = 3.14 \times (2 1/2)^2 = 19.6 \text{ ft}^2$ . Maximum dose for this area is 1 1/2 ounces of 10G=45g=4.5 g a.i.=4500 mg a.i. The residues would then be 4500 mg:19.6 ft=230 After thorough (assume 4-6 inch) incorporation, residues would be 230 mg/ft:50 (SDF)=4.6 mg/ft
- 2. For 5 foot band, A=  $\pi r^2$  = 3.14x(5)<sup>2</sup>=78.5 ft<sup>2</sup>.

  Maximum dose is 6 ounces formulation=180g=18,000 mg a.i. Residues would be 18,000 mg; 78.5 ft<sup>2</sup>=230 mg/ft, which would also yield, after incorporation, 4.6 mg/ft.

### 104.1 Likelihood of exposure to non-target organisms

Nemacur is a highly toxic, non-specific pesticide for use against nematodes in non-bearing fruit trees. The application rate will result in expected residues of up to 4.6 mg/ft, even after soil incorporation. Comparisons with several species of non-target animals indicate that these residues exceed the LD<sub>50</sub> (in mg/animal) for bobwhite quail (0.152 mg/animal), mallard duck (2.016 mg/animal), white-footed mouse (0.143 mg/animal), and meadow vole (0.333 mg/animal). See citrus review for Nemacur by T. F. O'Brien amended by L. Turner, 11/25/77, for development of these toxic levels, and related information.

Orchards have heavy wildlife utilization, although non-bearing orchards would have fewer songbirds, particularly those that use orchards primarily for feeding. Some nesting birds may occur, and depending upon the understory vegetation, utilization by other wildlife would be similar to bearing orchards. This would include possibly heavy utilization by quail, pheasants, deer, mice, porcupines, ground squirrels, wood chucks, and their predators. Even with the solid Seil incorporation, exposure is anticipated to be high, and with the demonstrated toxicity of Nemacur, non-target mortality is very likely. This could possibly be somewhat reduced by irrigation immediately following application.

For additional comments refer to related review of Nemacur on citrus by T. F. O'Brien amended by L. Turner 11/25/77.

### 104.1.2 Endangered Speciees-Considerations

Based upon the highly toxic nature of Nemacur and its broad spectrum toxicity, the registration of Nemacur for use on non-bearing fruits on a nation-wide scale could present a high likelihood of exposure to endangered species. The possibility of exposure and the possibility of adverse ecological effects to endangered species should prompt a label restriction against any use of Nemacur in areas and at times when endangerd species are likely to be present in the areas to be treated.

#### 104.1.3 Adequacy of Toxicity Data

Toxicity data were validated in Nemacur on citrus review by T. F. O'Brien, amended by L. Turner, 11/25/77. See that review for adequacy data.

#### 104.1.4 Additional Data Required

See section 107.5

#### 105.0 Classification

15 20

This product requires additional data before classification can be made. However, based upon available information, it is the opinion of this reviewer that this use should seriously be considered for restricted use.

#### 107.0 Conclusions

#### 107.1 Environmental Fate and Toxicology

Environmental Fate data was taken from previous Ecological Effects review and the most recent available EFB review by N. Dodd/R.E. Ney, 12/16/76. Toxicology data was taken from Toxicology Branch memos by R.D. Coberly (9/15/69), R.P. Schmidt (6/19/72, 7/27/73, and 1/2/74), W.E. Parkin (2/26/73), and R.A. Gessert (11/14/77).

#### 107.3 Labeling

The label for Nemacur 10G requires modification to reflect environmental hazards. The exact changes necessary cannot be determined until additional fish and wildlife studies are available.

#### 107.4 Data Adequacy

The warmwater and coldwater fish acute 96 hour LC<sub>50</sub> studies for technical Nemacur were found acceptable to support registration. All other studies were not acceptable to support registration for various reasons specified below and in section 104.1.3 of Nemacur on citrus review by T.F. O'Brien, amended by L. Turner, 11/25/78.

### 107.5 Data Requests

The following studies are required by Ecological Effects before an environmental hazard assessment can be made. These requests are to fill data gaps where no studies have been previously submitted or where submitted data was found unacceptable to support registration. These studies must be conducted on the technical grade of Nemacur.

- Avian subacute dietary IC<sub>50</sub> studies for both wild water fowl (preferably mallard duck) and upland game bird (preferably bobwhite quail or ring-necked pheasant).
- 2. An avian acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> study for one of the species tested in (1) above. Previously submitted studies were unacceptable because the formulated product was tested, inappropriate species were tested, or numbers of birds tested were insufficient.
- An aquatic invertebrate acute 48 hour LC<sub>50</sub> study (preferably for Daphnia magna).

In addition to the above studies using the technical grade of Nemacur, small pen field studies are required, using the 10G or 15G formulations. Previous small pen field tests for this use pattern provided some information, but were not acceptable to support registration because of several deficiencies in cage movement and supplemental feeding. Small pen studies have been previously requested for citrus. If these studies are adequate, they will, in combination with the previous test for non-bearing fruit tree use, probably will satisfy the requirement for this use pattern.

Information on the toxic nature of Nemacur as it relates to beneficial insects should be provided.

It is imperative that this Branch be supplied with the average and range of both sizes and weights of the granules.

## 107.7 Recommendations

The Ecological Effect Branch cannot concur with the registration of Nemacur 10 G on non-bearing fruit trees. There are insufficient fish and wildlife data to complete a hazard assessment.

Thomas F. O'Brien November 29,1977

Amended by: Larry W. Turner

September 27,1978

Ecological Effects Branch Section 1

Man Clibran

James W. Akerman, Section Head Ecological Effect Branch, Section 1

Ecological Effect Station, Second

Clayton dishong, Acting Branch Chief

Ecological Effects Branch

REPORT OF TE	LEPHONE CALL OR VISITOR	ROTE: Complete this I ma. Write CAA' where not appliced to
HICAHING CALL	VISITOR	ahelas
OUTGOING CALL	CONGRESSIONAL	THIE OF CALL
<b>X</b>		11:30
NAME AND ADDRESS OF CALLER		(517) 355-7493
Glen Duddere Fiw extension		RESISTRATION, 16 NO. OR FILE SYNCE
Michigan State		DATE OF CATEST SUBMISSION
Lansing	-	8/30/77
BRIEF-SUMMARY OF CORVERSATI	inquire about differen	nces in wildlife
T Called 10	non-hearing f	Guit orchards (apples
utilization of	young, non-bearing f	ing trees. I was told that
		by mammals that related
to the age of th	e trees. The Treatme	ent of the understory is
more important,	and is quite vallable	e from orchard to overand
In general Main	malian use elithose orci	hards is by deer, mice,
ACTUAL MAS OF MAN	1 souinels, woodchucks.	and predictors. Uplande
porcupinos gran	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 4 4 4
1 . II . L		to the transformation with the contract of the
game bird use by	pheasaurs or quail can	u se mederate to arga,
depending on the	understory. However, se	en moitesility brid pag
depending on the	ed, except by a few bit	inds that might nest
depending on the markedly decrease in isolated trees	ed, except by a few bit including robins dove,	ong bird unlitation is vols that might nest soug sparrows. Grackles,
depending on the markedly decrease in isolated trees	ed, except by a few bill, including robins, dove,	ong bird utilization is independent with the start with the start song sportous. Grackles, others that eat fruit
depending on the markedly decrease in isolated trees	ed, except by a few bill, including robins, dove,	ong bird unlitation is independent with the state of the sound sportous. Grackles, or others that eat fruit
depending on the markedly decrease in isolated trees	ed, except by a few bill, including robins, dove,	ong bird unlitation is vols that might nest soug sparrows. Grackles,
depending on the markedly decrease in isolated trees	ed, except by a few bill, including robins, dove,	ong bird unlitation is independent with the state of the sound sportous. Grackles, or others that eat fruit
depending on the markedly decrees in isolated trees blackbirds, cedar are not likely to	understory. However, see a few bit, except by a few bit, including robins, dove, waxwings, starlings, and be found unless they nes	ong bird utilization is ivels that might nest soug sparrows. Grackles, others that eat fruit in such isolated trees.
depending on the markedly decrees in isolated trees blackbirds, cedar are not likely to	understory. However, seed, except by a few bit, including robins, dove, waxwings, starlings, and be found unless they nes	ong bird utilization is vols that might nest soug sparrows. Grackles, others that eat fruit it in such isolated trees.
depending on the markedly decreas in isolated trees blackbirds, cedar are not likely to	understory. However, seed, except by a few bit, including robins, dove, waxwings, starlings, and be found unless they nes	ong bird utilization is independent mest song sporrows. Grackles, others that eat fruit it in such isolated trees.
depending on the markedly decreas in isolated trees blackbirds, cedar are not likely to	understory. However, seed, except by a few bit, including robins, dove, waxwings, starlings, and be found unless they nes	ong bird utilization is independent mest song sporrows. Grackles, others that eat fruit it in such isolated trees.
depending on the markedly decreas in isolated trees blackbirds, cedar are not likely to	understory. However, seed, except by a few bit, including robins, dove, waxwings, starlings, and be found unless they nes	ong bird utilization is independent mest song sporrows. Grackles, others that eat fruit it in such isolated trees.
depending on the markedly decreas in isolated trees blackbirds, cedar are not likely to	understory. However, seed, except by a few bit, including robins, dove, waxwings, starlings, and be found unless they nes	ong bird utilization is independent mest song sporrows. Grackles, others that eat fruit in such isolated trees.
depending on the markedly decreas in isolated trees blackbirds, cedar are not likely to	understory. However, seed, except by a few bit, including robins, dove, waxwings, starlings, and be found unless they nes	ong bird utilization is independent mest song sporrows. Grackles, others that eat fruit it in such isolated trees.
depending on the markedly decreas in isolated trees blackbirds, cedar are not likely to	understory. However, seed, except by a few bit, including robins, dove, waxwings, starlings, and be found unless they nes	ong bird utilization is independent mest soug sporrows. Grackles, others that eat fruit it in such isolated trees.
depending on the markedly decreas in isolated trees blackbirds, cedar are not likely to	understory. However, seed, except by a few bit, including robins, dove, waxwings, starlings, and be found unless they nes	ong bird utilization is independent mest song sporrows. Grackles, others that eat fruit it in such isolated trees.
depending on the markedly decreas in isolated trees blackbirds, cedar are not likely to	understory. However, seed, except by a few bit, including robins, dove, waxwings, starlings, and be found unless they nes	ong bird utilization is vols that might nest soug sparrows. Grackles, others that eat fruit it in such isolated trees.
depending on the markedly decrees in isolated trees blackbirds, cedar are not likely to	understory. However, seed, except by a few bit, including robins, dove, waxwings, starlings, and be found unless they nes	ong bird unitation is vols that might mest song sparrows. Grackles, lothers that eat fruit it in such isolated trees.
depending on the markedly decrees in isolated trees blackbirds, cedar are not likely to	understory. However, seed, except by a few bit, including robins, dove, waxwings, starlings, and be found unless they nes	ong bird unitation is vols that might mest song sparrows. Grackles, lothers that eat fruit it in such isolated trees.
depending on the markedly decrees in isolated trees blackbirds, cedar are not likely to	understory. However, seed, except by a few bit, including robins, dove, waxwings, starlings, and be found unless they nes	ong bird unitation is vols that might mest song sparrows. Grackles, lothers that eat fruit it in such isolated trees.